## Sophistry of Teiresias

(Andrew) Byung Kyu Park

## December 8, 2005

After Dionysos declares his intent for the fate of the Kadmians and the Theban people, Teiresias opens the first scene of Bacchae knocking on Kadmos' gate, calling him out to join him in the Bacchic revels. As they delay, deciding whether to go by chariot—since they seem to be too old and feeble to make the journey, after all!—or on foot, Pentheus appears, and he makes a brazen mockery of the Bacchic rites, the Lydian stranger that Dionysos disguises himself and even Dionysos himself, until the Bacchae cry out in outrage. There, Teiresias steps in with a lengthy speech in defense of the god. With regard to this defense speech, however, traditional scholarship has characterized him, a sophist, especially in his rationalization of the birth of Dionysos from Zeus that Pentheus mocks, and by implication, a hypocrite, since just before, he declared, "ουδεν σοφιζομεσθα τοίσι δαίμοσιν." However, the morals that he attempts to get across, as well as the textual evidence from elsewhere in the play supports the opposite conclusion, that Teiresias is not a sophist who advocates

<sup>1&</sup>quot;We do not use sophistry again to the gods." (line 200)—the translations that follow are mine, with help from Dodds' commentary and a faint memory of how we translated the passages in class 2 months ago. Line references follow Murray's text as printed on Dodds' commentary.

God

the weaker argument but in fact a prophet and messenger, mouthpiece for the god and his

The first evidence that Teiresias is not, as Pentheus accuses, "θελεις τον δαίμον ανθρώποισιν εσφέρων νέον σκοπείν πτερωτούς καμπύρων μισθούς φέρειν," is in the conclusion of the have play. In what fragment of the conclusion has been handed down to us, we see a rather remarkable, wide-ranging hand of judgment of Dionysos. Not only are Pentheus and Semele's sisters—who openly mocked the god's birth, disbelieved in him and slandered his mother—punished, but also Kadmos, who not only joined the Bacchic worship of his free will, not compeled as the sisters were, but also encouraged Pentheus to "οἶκει μεθ' ἡμῶν," suffering his mockery of the "σκευὴν θεοῦ". That is, Kadmos, who Dionysos praised in the prologue for his honoring of Semele after her death, was also punished. While there are many external explanations and conjectures for the surprising turn of events, we can find one explanation more likely than others from within the text itself: "ἀσεβειαν ἀσκοῦντ' ὀργι' ἐχθαίρει θεοῦ." To the best evidence within the text, Kadmos did not sincerely believe in the god until the death of Pentheus. Even when he is trying to persuade Pentheus, his reasoning,

worldly ways. To Dionysos, this Kadmos is no different from Pentheus who asks, "έχει δ' δυησιν τοισι θύουσιν τίνα)" δε Teiresias is contrasted to Kadmos from the beginning—to Kadmos' question, "ουκοῦν ὁχοισιν εἰς ὅρος περάσομεν;" he immediately replies "ἀλλ' οὐχ ὁμοίως ἀν ὁ θεὸς τιμὴν έχοι." Τeiresias understands the "Βρομίωι πονον ήδυν" that the Bacchae sing. Because of this difference, because he is "βάκχευτος" he emerges from the play unscathed—and this is Dionysos' recognition of his true heart.

Nice !

Teiresias is also contrasted from other characters in his interaction with the Bacchae—that is, the chorus. All the other characters in the play, that is, save for the messengers<sup>13</sup>, can only evoke outrage and forced pity from the Bacchae, who keep the unbelievers at arm's length: At the living Pentheus, they can speak nothing better than "της δυσσεβείας" <sup>14</sup> of him and his conduct, and at his death, their immediate reaction is rather, "ωναξ Βρόμιε, θεὸς φαίνηι μεγας," <sup>15</sup> than that of grief and sympathy for the unfortunate man. With the wretched Agaue after her rendering of Pentheus, they first feign acceptance, saying, "όρω καί σε δεξ ομαι σύγκωμον," <sup>16</sup> only to be repelled by the invitation to share the spoils: "τί; μετέχω, τλαμον;" <sup>17</sup> Finally, even as Kadmos and Agaue suffer the worst punishment they could have imagined, expression of sympathy by the Bacchae is limited by their repeated what tenefit

<sup>8 &</sup>quot;Does it have any benefit for those who sacrifice?" (line 473)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Then shall go into the mountain by chariots?" (line 191)
<sup>10</sup> "But the god would not have the honor the same way." (line 192)

<sup>11 &</sup>quot;sweet toil for Bromios" (line 66)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Contrast to "δβάκχευτος" in line 472.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>The guard and the two messengers, although they start out as not a believer of the new god, by the end of their non-fatal encounter with the Bacchic elements they have become believers, as their speech and the morals they preach clearly show.

<sup>14 &</sup>quot;What impiety!" (line 263)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> "Lord Bromios, you are revealed as a great god" (line 1031)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> "I see and accept you as a fellow reveler." (line 1172)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> "What? I partake, oh wretched woman?" (line 1184)

assertion that the punishment of Pentheus was just: " $\tau o \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma o \nu \lambda \gamma \omega$ ,  $K \alpha \delta \mu \epsilon \cdot \sigma o \zeta \delta$ ' έχει δίκην παις παιδός άξιαν μέν, άλγεινην δέ σοί." 18 However, in response to that σο δ ου καταισχύνεις λόγοις. 19 This is the Bacchae, το φαυλότερου's recognition of Teiresias' character.

Not only is Teiresias' character in line with the god and his followers, but so are his arguments. Nearly every point that he makes is echoed by the god, the Bacchae, and the messengers, the god's converts. First his arguments regarding what is  $\sigma o \phi o \zeta$  in agreement: Teiresias answers, "μονοι γὰρ  $\epsilon \tilde{v}$  φρονοῦμεν, οἱ δ' ἄλλοι κακῶς," 20 to Kadmos asking whether they would be the only ones joining the Bacchic revels. The god notes similarly **ex** the non-Greeks worshiping him: " $\tau \alpha \delta$ '  $\epsilon v \gamma \epsilon \mu \alpha \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ." And as we have seen before, Teiresias places no stock in sophistry since "οὐδ' εἰ δι' ἀκρων τὸ σοφὸν ηύρηται φρενών" 22 can it overpower the gods, and the Bacchae echow the same sentiments in: " $\tau \dot{\delta} \sigma o \phi \dot{\delta} \nu \delta$ " over σοφία το τε μη θυητά φρονείν. βραχύς αίων επί τουτωι δέ τις αν μεγάλα διώκων τα  $\pi a \rho o \nu \tau' o \nu \chi \iota \phi \epsilon \rho o \iota$ ."<sup>23</sup> And furthermore, his grasp of the nature of the god is confirmed: Teiresias humbly speaks, "ουκ αν δυναίμην μέγεθος έξειπείν όσος καθ' Έλλάδ' έσται,"24 and the Bacchae likewise defends Bromios, "Διόνυσος ήσσων οὐδενὸς θεῶν ἔφυ." 25 And

Nice '

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> "I feel your pain, Kadmos; but your grandson has deserving punishment, although it is grievous to you."

<sup>19 &</sup>quot;You do not shame Phoebus with your words." (line 328)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> "For only we think well, but the others badly." (line 196)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> "With respect to these things, they rather think well at least." (line 484)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> "not even if subtlety has been invented through utmost wits" (line 203) <sup>23</sup> "But cleverness and to think of the not mortal things is not wisdom. Life is short; he who on a tenure pursues great things would miss the things present." (line 395-399)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> "I would not be able to explain however much great he will be throughout Greece." (line 273-274)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> "Dionysos is by nature less than none of the gods." (line 777)

where he asserts, "ου γὰρ διήιρηχ' ὁ θεος... ἀλλ' ἐξ ἀπάντων βούλεται τιμὰς ἔχειν κοινας, διαριθμῶν δ' οὐδέν' αὐξεσθαι θέλει," <sup>26</sup> the Bacchae resounds with, "ἶσαν δ' ἔς τε τον ὅλβιον τον τε χείρονα δῶκ' ἔχειν δίνου τέρψιν ἄλυπον." <sup>27</sup> And just as he counters Pentheus' charge of immorality with, "ουχ ὁ Διόνυσος σωφρονεῖν ἀναγκάσει γυναῖκας ἐς τὴν Κύπριν, ἀλλ' ἐν τῆι φύσει τοῦτο σκοπεῖν χρή," <sup>28</sup> Dionysos answers Pentheus' accusation of corruption of the women with "καν ἡμέραι το γ' αἰσχρον ἐξεύροι τις ἀν," <sup>29</sup> that it is up to each one to uphold πorality. Even with regard to Dionysos' gift to men, they are in complete agreement: Teiresias characterizes wine as a benign gift "ὁ παὐει τοὺς ταλαιπώρους βροτοὺς λύπης," <sup>30</sup> and the first messenger from Kithairon calls wine not only "παυσίλυπον" but also, "οἶνου δὲ μηκετ' ὄντος οὐκ ἔστιν Κύπρις οὐδ' ἀλλο τερπνον οὐδεν ἀνθρώποις ἔτι." <sup>31</sup>.

Even with so many evidences to defend Teiresias—character witnesses, to borrow a modern legal term—perhaps he cannot avoid being found guilty of sophistry and hypocrisy in his revision of the old myth. After all, the Bacchae, who sing the hymn of Dithyrambos disagrees with him in their belief of Dionysos' birth from Zeus' male womb. Dodds makes good points in his commentary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> "For the god does not discriminate... but he desires to have the common honor from all, drawing distinction in no way he wishes to be magnified." (line 206-209)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> "He gave the painless joy of wine equally to the happy and to the unlucky to have." (line 420-423)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> "Dionysos will not force the women to be moderate regard to sex, but this must be observed in their nature." (line 314-317)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> "Even during the day someone may find indeed a shameful thing." (line 488)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> "which stops the miserable mortals from pain" (line 280)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> "grief-stopping,"... "If wine were no longer, neither would be Aphrodite, nor would there still be another pleasure for men." (line 772-774)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup>In his commentary on lines 286-297, Dodds brings up four points, among which is that this has a close parallel in the *Helena*, where Helen does not accept her own myth of birth from an egg while her attendants persist in the belief.

God

one more point to absolve Teiresias of at least the charge of hypocrisy—he is, if at all, using sophistry for the god, not against the god.<sup>33</sup> He is espousing the stronger argument, not the weaker. We can find yet another close parallel in the prose tradition as well: Socrates the philosopher, in his reasonings and arguments with sophists, Gorgias for example, shows himself a master sophist. The only thing that sets him apart is that he does not play devil's advocate and rather defends the stronger argument against sophistry. In like manner, Teiresias the prophet is set apart in that he speaks for the god and not against him. Furthermore, if we imagine Dionysos as representing the stagemaster side of Euripides the tragedian, then Teiresias represents the storyteller side, for tragedy was traditionally based on old myth.<sup>34</sup> Since the picture holds as such, would Euripides wish to represent the part of Teiresias that, in fact, represents himself, as being blasphemous and dishonoring the gods?

Good-Lingled You neled included this point

(if unly in

A

Hudrew -

Very nice job - I like all the attention you pay to particular details of the Greek text (and your careful translations). And your argument is very clearly and well laid-out; also, in general, very well written (except for issues of subject - vb. agreement in English...)

Anietatle 6
(in the Poetics)

"Brechae is plural
"Chones" would be ringular

 $<sup>^{33}</sup>$ For this reason, I have translated the " $\tau o i \sigma \iota \delta \alpha \iota \mu \rho \sigma \iota \nu$ " with the dative of hostile action, although Dodds has doubts with the sense on the account that " $\sigma o \phi \iota \zeta \omega$ " does not have the hostile sense in usual usage.  $^{34}$ Until Agathon—as  $\overline{\phi \omega}$  comments, one thing new about Agathon was that "he invented his own plots."